



COMMON COUPLED FIXED POINTS FOR TWO PAIRS OF w -COMPATIBLE MAPS IN PARTIAL G -METRIC SPACES

¹Rao K. P. R. *, ²Kishore G. N. V., ³Sadik S. K.

¹Department of Mathematics, Acharya Nagarjuna University, Nagarjuna Nagar -522 510, A.P., India

²Department of Mathematics, K L University, Vaddeswaram, Guntur - 522 502, Andhra Pradesh, India

³Department of Mathematics, Sir C R R College of Engineering, Eluru ,West Godhawari – 534007, Andhra Pradesh, India

*Corresponding author's e-mail: kprrao2004@yahoo.com

Received 31 March, 2015; Revised 04 February, 2016

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove a unique common coupled fixed point theorem for two pairs of w -compatible mappings satisfying two contractive conditions in partial G -metric spaces. We also furnish an example to support our main theorem.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: Partial G -metric space, w -compatible pairs, 0-P-G completeness.

INTRODUCTION

Dhage [5] introduced the concept of D -metric spaces to generalize the ordinary metric spaces and proved several results, for example, refer [5, 6, 7]. Unfortunately almost all results are invalid (see [19, 20, 21, 13, 15]). To modify D -metric space, Mustafa and Sims [13] introduced the concept of G -metric spaces and obtained some results in their papers. Later several authors, for instance, [4, 10, 2, 3, 22, 24, 25, 26, 9, 14, 16, 17, 18], proved some fixed, common fixed and coupled fixed point theorems in G -metric spaces.

Recently Salimi and Vetro [23] defined partial G -metric spaces using the concept of partial metric spaces introduced by Mathews [12].

Kaewcharoen [10] proved a unique common fixed point theorem for four self mappings on a G -complete metric spaces. The intent of this paper is to extend the theorem of kaewcharoen [10] in partial G -metric spaces. We illustrated our theorem with an example.

First we state the following known definitions, lemmas and propositions.

Definition 1.1 [5]: Let X be a non-empty set. A D -metric on X is a function $D : X^3 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z, a \in X$,

1. $D(x, y, z) = 0$ if and only if $x = y = z$,
2. $D(x, y, z) = D(p\{x, y, z\})$ where p is a permutation function,
3. $D(x, y, z) \leq D(x, y, a) + D(x, a, z) + D(a, y, z)$.

Then the pair (X, D) is called a D -metric space.

Definition 1.2 [13]: Let X be a non-empty set and let $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function satisfying the following properties:

- (G_1) : $G(x, y, z) = 0$ if $x = y = z$,
- (G_2) : $0 < G(x, x, y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$,
- (G_3) : $G(x, x, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$ with $y \neq z$,
- (G_4) : $G(x, y, z) = G(x, z, y) = G(y, z, x) = \dots$, symmetry in all three variables,
- (G_5) : $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$ for all $x, y, z, a \in X$.

Then the function G is called a generalized metric or a G -metric on X and the pair (X, G) is called a G -metric space.

Definition 1.3 [12]: A partial metric on a non-empty set X is a function $p: X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that for all $x, y, z \in X$,

- (p_1) $x = y \Leftrightarrow p(x, x) = p(x, y) = p(y, y)$,
- (p_2) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y), p(y, y) \leq p(x, y)$,
- (p_3) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$,
- (p_4) $p(x, y) \leq p(x, z) + p(z, y) - p(z, z)$.

The pair (X, p) is called a *partial metric space* (PMS).

Definition 1.4 [23]: Let X be a non-empty set and let $P: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be called a partial G -metric if the following conditions are satisfied:



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$(P_1) \text{ If } x = y = z \text{ then } P(x, y, z) = P(x, x, x) = P(y, y, y) = P(z, z, z),$$

$$(P_2) P(x, x, x) + P(y, y, y) + P(z, z, z) \leq 3P(x, y, z) \text{ for all } x, y, z, \in X ,$$

$$(P_3) \frac{1}{3}P(x, x, x) + \frac{2}{3}P(y, y, y) < P(x, y, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X \text{ with } x \neq y ,$$

$$(P_4) P(x, x, y) - \frac{1}{3}P(x, x, x) \leq P(x, y, z) - \frac{1}{3}P(z, z, z) \text{ for all points } x, y, z \in X \text{ with } y \neq z ,$$

$$(P_5) P(x, y, z) = P(x, z, y) = P(y, z, x) = \dots (\text{symmetry in three variables}),$$

$$(P_6) P(x, y, z) \leq P(x, a, a) + P(a, y, z) - P(a, a, a) \text{ for any } x, y, z, a \in X .$$

Then the pair (X, P) is called a partial G -metric space (in brief PGMS).

Example 1.1 [23]: Let $X = [0, +\infty)$ and define $P(x, y, z) = \frac{1}{3}(\max\{x, y\} + \max\{y, z\} + \max\{x, z\})$ for all points $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, P) is a PGMS.

The following Proposition gives some properties of a partial G -metric.

Proposition 1.1 [23]: Let (X, P) be a PGMS. Then for $x, y, z, a \in X$, the following properties hold:

1. If $P(x, y, z) = P(x, x, x) = P(y, y, y) = P(z, z, z)$, then $x = y = z$
2. If $P(x, y, z) = 0$ then $x = y = z$;
3. If $x \neq y$, then $P(x, y, y) > 0$
4. $P(x, y, z) \leq P(x, x, y) + P(x, x, z) - P(x, x, x)$ for any $x, y, z, a \in X$.
5. $P(x, y, y) \leq 2P(x, x, y) - P(x, x, x)$;
6. $P(x, y, z) \leq P(x, a, a) + P(y, a, a) - P(z, a, a) - 2P(a, a, a)$;
7. $P(x, y, z) \leq P(x, a, z) + P(a, y, z) - \frac{2}{3}P(a, a, a) - \frac{1}{3}P(z, z, z)$ with $y \neq z$;



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$8. \quad P(x, y, y) \leq P(x, y, a) + P(a, y, y) - \frac{2}{3}P(a, a, a) - \frac{1}{3}P(y, y, y) \quad \text{with } x \neq y;$$

Definition 1.5 [23]: Let (X, P) be a PGMS. Then

1. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is $P-G$ -convergent to $x \in X$ if and only if

$$P(x, x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x, x, x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x, x_n, x_n).$$

2. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is $0-P-G$ -Cauchy if and only if

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x_n, x_m, x_m) = 0.$$

3. A partial G -metric space (X, P) is said to be $0-P-G$ -complete if and only if every $0-P-G$ -Cauchy sequence in X $P-G$ -converges to a point $x \in X$ such that $P(x, x, x) = 0$.

Example 1.2 [23]: Let $X = [0, 1]$ and $P: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by $P(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y\} + \max\{y, z\} + \max\{x, z\}$ for all points $x, y, z \in X$. Then (X, P) is a $0-P-G$ -complete partial G -metric space.

Lemma 1.1 [23]: Let (X, P) be a partial G -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Assume that $\{x_n\}$ $P-G$ -converges to $x \in X$ and $P(x, x, x) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x_n, y, y) = P(x, y, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

Similarly we can have the following Lemma.

Lemma 1.2: Let (X, P) be a partial G -metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X . Assume that $\{x_n\}$ $P-G$ -converges to $x \in X$ and $P(x, x, x) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} P(x_n, x_n, y) = P(x, x, y)$ for all $y \in X$.

Bhskar and Lakshmikantham [8] developed some coupled fixed point theorems for a mapping satisfying mixed monotone property in partially ordered metric spaces. Later Lakshmikantham and Ćirić [11] extended the notion of mixed monotone property to mixed g -monotone property and generalized the results of [8]. Abbas et al. [1] introduced w -compatible mappings and proved some common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces.

Definition 1.6 [8]: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called a coupled fixed point of a mapping $F: X \times X \rightarrow X$ if $x = F(x, y)$ and $y = F(y, x)$.

Definition 1.7 [11]: An element $(x, y) \in X \times X$ is called



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

(i) a coupled coincident point of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.

(ii) a common coupled fixed point of mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ if $x = fx = F(x, y)$ and $y = fy = F(y, x)$.

Definition 1.8 [1]: The mappings $F : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ are called a w - compatible pair if $f(F(x, y)) = F(fx, fy)$ and $f(F(y, x)) = F(fy, fx)$ whenever $fx = F(x, y)$ and $fy = F(y, x)$.

In 2012, A.Kaewcharoen [10] proved the following

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.1, [10]): Let X be a G - complete metric space. suppose that $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are weakly compatible pairs of self-mappings on X satisfying

$$G(fx, fx, gy) \leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(Sx, Sx, Ty), G(fx, fx, Sx), G(gy, gy, Ty), \\ \frac{1}{2} (G(fx, fx, Ty) + G(gy, gy, Sx)) \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$G(fx, gy, gy) \leq h \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} G(Sx, Ty, Ty), G(fx, Sx, Sx), G(gy, Ty, Ty), \\ \frac{1}{2} (G(fx, Ty, Ty) + G(gy, Sx, Sx)) \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ where $h \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$. Suppose that $fX \subseteq TX$ and $gX \subseteq SX$. If one of TX or SX is a G - closed subspace of X , then f, g, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Now we give our main result.

MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1: Let (X, P) be a partial G -metric space. Suppose that $f, g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be satisfying

(2.1.1) $f(X \times X) \subseteq T(X), g(X \times X) \subseteq S(X),$

(2.1.2) $\{f, S\}$ and $\{g, T\}$ are w -compatible pairs,

(2.1.3) One of $T(X)$ or $S(X)$ is $0 - P - G$ -complete subspace of X ,



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$(2.1.4) \quad (a)P(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Sx, Sx, Tu), P(Sy, Sy, Tv), \\ P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Sx), P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Sy), \\ P(g(u, v), g(u, v), Tu), P(g(v, u), g(v, u), Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Tu) + P(g(u, v), g(u, v), Sx)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Tv) + P(g(v, u), g(v, u), Sy)] \end{array} \right\}$$

and

$$(b)P(f(x, y), g(u, v), g(u, v))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Sx, Tu, Tu), P(Sy, Tv, Tv), \\ P(f(x, y), Sx, Sx), P(f(y, x), Sy, Sy), \\ P(g(u, v), Tu, Tu), P(g(v, u), Tv, Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(x, y), Tu, Tu) + P(g(u, v), Sx, Sx)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(y, x), Tv, Tv) + P(g(v, u), Sy, Sy)] \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Then f, g, S and T have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Proof: Let $(x_0, y_0) \in (X \times X)$. From (2.1.1), we can construct the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}, \{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ such that

$$f(x_{2n}, y_{2n}) = Tx_{2n+1} = z_{2n},$$

$$f(y_{2n}, x_{2n}) = Ty_{2n+1} = w_{2n},$$

$$g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}) = Sx_{2n+2} = z_{2n+1},$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$g(y_{2n+1}, x_{2n+1}) = Sy_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2$$

Now from (2.1.4)(b), we have

$$P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) = P(g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), f(x_{2n}, y_{2n}))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}, z_{2n-1}), P(w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}, w_{2n-1}), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}) + P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n-1}, z_{2n-1})], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}) + P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n-1}, w_{2n-1})] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ 2P(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), 2P(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1}), \\ 2P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), 2P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{1}{2} [2P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}) + 2P(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1})], \\ \frac{1}{2} [2P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) + 2P(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1})] \end{array} \right\},$$

from (P₆) and Propo sũ tli.olv

$$= 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly we can prove,

$$P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.2}$$

Thus from (2.1) and (2.2), we have



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n-1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n-1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

Now suppose that

$$\max \{P(z_{2n}, z_{2n}, z_{2n-1}), P(w_{2n}, w_{2n}, w_{2n-1})\} = 0.$$

Then we have $z_{2n-1} = z_{2n}$ and $w_{2n-1} = w_{2n}$ from Proposition 1.1(ii)

From (2.3),

$$\max \{P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n})\} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

so that $z_{2n} = z_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n} = w_{2n+1}$.

Now from (2.1.4)(a), we can prove

$$P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}) \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$P(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}) \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

Thus from (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), \\ P(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), \\ P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), \\ P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ P(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1}) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.7)$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

Using (2.4) in (2.7), we get

$$\max\{P(z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+2}, z_{2n+1}), P(w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+2}, w_{2n+1})\} = 0$$

so that $z_{2n+2} = z_{2n+1}$ and $w_{2n+2} = w_{2n+1}$.

Continuing in this way we get $z_{2n} = z_{2n+1} = z_{2n+2} = \dots$ and $w_{2n} = w_{2n+1} = w_{2n+2} = \dots$

Thus $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are Cauchy sequences.

Assume that $\max\{P(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, z_n), P(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, w_n)\} > 0$ for all n .

Now from (2.3) and (2.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}, z_n), \\ P(w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}, w_n) \end{array} \right\} &\leq 2k \max\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{n-1}, z_n, z_n), \\ P(w_{n-1}, w_n, w_n) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq (2k)^2 \max\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_{n-2}, z_{n-1}, z_{n-1}), \\ P(w_{n-2}, w_{n-2}, w_{n-1}) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot \\ &\leq (2k)^n \max\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_0, z_1, z_1), \\ P(w_0, w_1, w_1) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(z_n, z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(w_n, w_{n+1}, w_{n+1}) = 0 \tag{2.9}$$

For $m, n \in N$ with $m > n$, we have

$$P(z_n, z_m, z_m) \leq P(z_n, z_{n+1}, z_{n+1}) + P(z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}, z_{n+2}) + \dots + P(z_{m-1}, z_m, z_m)$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$\leq [(2k)^n + (2k)^{n+1} + \dots + (2k)^{m-1}] \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_0, z_1, z_1), \\ P(w_0, w_1, w_1) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \frac{(2k)^n}{1-2k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(z_0, z_1, z_1), \\ P(w_0, w_1, w_1) \end{array} \right\}$$

Thus

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(z_n, z_m, z_m) = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{n,m \rightarrow \infty} p(w_n, w_m, w_m) = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

Thus $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are $0-P-G$ - Cauchy sequences in X .

Suppose $S(X)$ is $0-P-G$ complete. Then the sequences $\{z_{2n+1}\} = \{Sx_{2n+2}\}$ and $\{w_{2n+1}\} = \{Sy_{2n+2}\}$ $P-G$ converge to points $\alpha, \beta \in S(X)$ such that $p(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) = 0$ and $P(\beta, \beta, \beta) = 0$ and $\alpha = Su$ and $\beta = Sv$ for some $u, v \in X$.

Since $\{z_n\}$ and $\{w_n\}$ are $0-P-G$ - Cauchy and from (2.8) and (2.9), it follows that $\{z_{2n}\}$ and $\{w_{2n}\}$ are $P-G$ - converge to α and β respectively.

Using (2.1.4)(b), we obtain that

$$P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, f(u, v)) = P(g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), f(u, v))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Su, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(Sv, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ P(f(u, v), Su, Su), P(f(v, u), Sv, Sv), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(u, v), z_{2n}, z_{2n}) + P(z_{2n+1}, Su, Su)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(v, u), w_{2n}, w_{2n}) + P(w_{2n+1}, Sv, Sv)] \end{array} \right\}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we have



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$P(\alpha, \alpha, f(u, v)) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 0, 0, P(f(u, v), \alpha, \alpha), P(f(v, u), \beta, \beta), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(u, v), \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(v, u), \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta, \beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$

from (2.8), (2.9), Lemmas (1.1) and (1.2)

$$= k \max \{P(f(u, v), \alpha, \alpha), P(f(v, u), \beta, \beta)\} \tag{2.12}$$

Using (2.1.4)(b) to $P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, f(v, u))$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$P(\beta, \beta, f(v, u)) \leq k \max \{P(f(u, v), \alpha, \alpha), P(f(v, u), \beta, \beta)\} \tag{2.13}$$

Thus from (2.12) and (2.13) we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, f(u, v)), \\ P(\beta, \beta, f(v, u)) \end{array} \right\} \leq k \max \{P(f(u, v), \alpha, \alpha), P(f(v, u), \beta, \beta)\}$$

which in turn yields from Proposition 1.1(ii) that $f(u, v) = \alpha = Su$ and $f(v, u) = \beta = Sv$. Thus (α, β) is a coupled coincidence point of f and S . Since $\{f, S\}$ is a w -compatible pair, we have $S\alpha = f(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S\beta = f(\beta, \alpha)$.

We next prove that $S\alpha = \alpha$ and $S\beta = \beta$.

Applying (2.1.4)(b), we obtain that

$$P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, S\alpha) = P(g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), f(\alpha, \beta))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(S\beta, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ P(f(\alpha, \beta), S\alpha, S\alpha), P(f(\beta, \alpha), S\beta, S\beta), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(\alpha, \beta), z_{2n}, z_{2n}) + P(z_{2n+1}, S\alpha, S\alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(\beta, \alpha), w_{2n}, w_{2n}) + P(w_{2n+1}, S\beta, S\beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$P(\alpha, \alpha, S\alpha) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(S\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(S\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), P(S\beta, S\beta, S\beta), 0, 0, \\ \frac{1}{2}[P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2}[P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$

from lemma (1.1), (1.2) and (2.8), (2.9)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(S\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta), \\ \frac{1}{2}[P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2}[P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta)] \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Using (2.1.4)(b) to $P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, S\beta)$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$P(\beta, \beta, S\beta) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} & \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \\ & \leq k \left[\begin{array}{l} \max \{P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(S\beta, \beta, \beta)\} \\ + \max \{P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta)\} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{matrix} P(\alpha, \alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, S\beta) \end{matrix} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (2.16)$$

Using (2.1.4)(a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(z_{2n+1}, S\alpha, S\alpha) &= P(g(x_{2n+1}, y_{2n+1}), f(\alpha, \beta), f(\alpha, \beta)) \\ &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} P(S\alpha, S\alpha, z_{2n}), P(S\beta, S\beta, w_{2n}), \\ P(S\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), P(S\beta, S\beta, S\beta), \\ P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, z_{2n}), P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, w_{2n}), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(S\alpha, S\alpha, z_{2n}) + P(z_{2n+1}, z_{2n+1}, S\alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(S\beta, S\beta, w_{2n}) + P(w_{2n+1}, w_{2n+1}, S\beta)] \end{matrix} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha) &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} P(S\alpha, S\alpha, \alpha), P(S\beta, S\beta, \beta), \\ P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta), 0, 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(S\alpha, S\alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha, S\alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(S\beta, S\beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta, S\beta)] \end{matrix} \right\} \\ &= k \max \left\{ \begin{matrix} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{matrix} \right\} \quad (2.17) \end{aligned}$$

Applying (2.1.4)(a) to $P(w_{2n+1}, S\beta, S\beta)$ and then letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.18)$$

From (2.17) and (2.18), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq k \left[\begin{array}{l} \max \{P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(S\beta, \beta, \beta)\} \\ + \max \{P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta)\} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, S\alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, S\beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.19)$$

From (2.16) and (2.19), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, S\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, S\beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-k} \right)^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(S\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), \\ P(S\beta, \beta, \beta) \end{array} \right\}$$

so that $S\alpha = \alpha$ and $S\beta = \beta$. Thus $\alpha = S\alpha = f(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\beta = S\beta = f(\beta, \alpha)$. Since $f(X \times X) \subseteq T(X)$, there exist $a, b \in X$ such that $\alpha = f(\alpha, \beta) = Ta$ and $\beta = f(\beta, \alpha) = Tb$.

From (2.1.4)(a) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P(\alpha, \alpha, g(a, b)) &= P(f(\alpha, \beta), f(\alpha, \beta), g(a, b)) \\ &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(g(a, b), g(a, b), \alpha), P(g(b, a), g(b, a), \beta), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(g(a, b), g(a, b), \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(g(b, a), g(b, a), \beta)] \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$\leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(g(a, b), \alpha, \alpha), \\ P(g(b, a), \beta, \beta) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.20}$$

Again using (2.1.4)(a) to $P(\beta, \beta, g(b, a))$, we obtain

$$P(\beta, \beta, g(b, a)) \leq 2k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(g(a, b), \alpha, \alpha), \\ P(g(b, a), \beta, \beta) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.21}$$

From (2.20) and (2.21), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, g(a, b)), \\ P(\beta, \beta, g(b, a)) \end{array} \right\} \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\beta, \beta, g(b, a)), \\ P(\alpha, \alpha, g(a, b)) \end{array} \right\}$$

so that $g(a, b) = \alpha = Ta$ and $g(b, a) = \beta = Tb$. Since the pair $\{g, T\}$ is weakly compatible, we have $T\alpha = g(\alpha, \beta)$ and $T\beta = g(\beta, \alpha)$. Now we prove $T\alpha = \alpha$ and $T\beta = \beta$.

Using (2.1.4)(a) we obtain

$$P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha) = P(f(\alpha, \beta), f(\alpha, \beta), g(\alpha, \beta))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha), P(\beta, \beta, T\beta), \\ P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(T\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), P(T\beta, T\beta, T\beta), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha) + P(T\alpha, T\alpha, \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) + P(T\beta, T\beta, \beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha), P(\beta, \beta, T\beta), 0, 0, \\ P(T\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(T\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha) + P(T\alpha, T\alpha, \alpha)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) + P(T\beta, T\beta, \beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$= k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(T\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(T\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.22)$$

Similarly from (2.1.4)(a), we obtain

$$P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(T\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(T\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.23)$$

From (2.22) and (2.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(T\alpha, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(T\beta, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq k \left[\begin{array}{l} \max \{ P(T\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(T\beta, \beta, \beta) \} \\ + \max \{ P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.24)$$

Now Using (2.1.4)(b) as in above, we obtain

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(T\alpha, T\alpha, \alpha), \\ P(T\beta, T\beta, \beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.25)$$

From (2.24) and (2.25), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, \beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-k} \right)^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, T\alpha, T\alpha), \\ P(\beta, T\beta, T\beta) \end{array} \right\}$$

so that $\alpha = T\alpha$ and $\beta = T\beta$. Thus $\alpha = T\alpha = g(\alpha, \beta)$ and $\beta = T\beta = g(\beta, \alpha)$. Hence (α, β) is a common coupled fixed point of f, g, S and T .

Suppose that $(\alpha^1, \beta^1) \in X \times X$ is another common coupled fixed point of f, g, S and T .



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

Suppose that $\alpha \neq \alpha^1$ and $\beta \neq \beta^1$.

Applying (2.1.4)(a), we obtain that

$$P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1) = P(f(\alpha, \beta), f(\alpha, \beta), g(\alpha^1, \beta^1))$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1), \\ P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha), P(\beta, \beta, \beta), \\ P(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), P(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta^1), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1) + P(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha)] \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) + P(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta)] \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1), 0, 0, \\ P(\alpha^1, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta^1, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1) + P(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha)] \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) + P(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta)] \end{array} \right\},$$

from (P₆)

$$= k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha^1, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta^1, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.26)$$

Again using (2.1.4)(a), we obtain

$$P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha^1, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta^1, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \quad (2.27)$$

From (2.26) and (2.27), we have



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} &\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha^1, \alpha, \alpha) + P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta^1, \beta, \beta) + P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \\ &\leq k \left[\begin{array}{l} \max \{ P(\alpha^1, \alpha, \alpha), P(\beta^1, \beta, \beta) \} \\ + \max \{ P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1) \} \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha^1, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta^1, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.28}$$

Similarly applying (2.1.4)(b) to $P(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha)$ and $P(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta)$, we obtain that

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha^1, \alpha^1, \alpha), \\ P(\beta^1, \beta^1, \beta) \end{array} \right\} \leq \frac{k}{1-k} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.29}$$

From (2.28) and (2.29), we have

$$\max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \leq \left(\frac{k}{1-k} \right)^2 \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(\alpha, \alpha, \alpha^1), \\ P(\beta, \beta, \beta^1) \end{array} \right\} \tag{2.30}$$

so that $\alpha = \alpha^1$ and $\beta = \beta^1$. Thus (α, β) is the unique common coupled fixed point of f, g, S and T .

If we put $f = g$ and $S = T$ in Theorem 2.1, we have the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.1 *Let (X, P) be a partial G -metric space. Suppose that $f : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S : X \rightarrow X$ be satisfying*

$$(2.1.1) \quad f(X \times X) \subseteq T(X),$$

$$(2.1.2) \quad (f, T) \text{ are weakly compatible pairs,}$$

$$(2.1.3) \quad T(X) \text{ is } 0-P-G\text{-complete subspace of } X,$$

$$(2.1.4) \quad (a)P(f(x, y), f(x, y), f(u, v))$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Tx, Tx, Tu), P(Ty, Ty, Tv), \\ P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Tx), P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Ty), \\ P(f(u, v), f(u, v), Tu), P(f(v, u), f(v, u), Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Tu) + P(f(u, v), f(u, v), Tx)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Tv) + P(f(v, u), f(v, u), Ty)] \end{array} \right\}$$

and

(b) $P(f(x, y), f(u, v), f(u, v))$

$$\leq k \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Tx, Tu, Tu), P(Ty, Tv, Tv), \\ P(f(x, y), Tx, Tx), P(f(y, x), Ty, Ty), \\ P(f(u, v), Tu, Tu), P(f(v, u), Tv, Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(x, y), Tu, Tu) + P(f(u, v), Tx, Tx)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(y, x), Tv, Tv) + P(f(v, u), Ty, Ty)] \end{array} \right\}$$

for all $x, y, u, v \in X$, where $k \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$.

Then f and T have a unique common coupled fixed point in $X \times X$.

Now we give the following example to illustrate our Theorem 2.1

Example 2.1 Let (X, P) be a partial G -metric space, where $X = [0, 1]$ $P : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be defined by

$$P(x, y, z) = \max\{x, y\} + \max\{y, z\} + \max\{x, z\}.$$

Let $f, g : X \times X \rightarrow X$ and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be defined by

$$f(x, y) = \frac{x^2 + y^2}{16}, \quad g(x, y) = \frac{x + y}{32}, \quad Sx = \frac{x^2}{2}, \quad Tx = \frac{x}{4}, \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

The conditions (2.1.1), (2.1.2) and (2.1.3) are obvious.

For all $x, y \in X$, consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(f(x, y), f(x, y), g(u, v)) &= f(x, y) + 2 \max\{f(x, y), g(u, v)\} \\
 &= \frac{x^2 + y^2}{16} + 2 \max\left\{\frac{x^2 + y^2}{16}, \frac{u + v}{32}\right\} \\
 &= \left[\frac{x^2}{16} + 2 \max\left\{\frac{x^2}{16}, \frac{u}{32}\right\}\right] + \left[\frac{y^2}{16} + 2 \max\left\{\frac{y^2}{16}, \frac{v}{32}\right\}\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{x^2}{2} + 2 \max\left\{\frac{x^2}{2}, \frac{u}{4}\right\}\right] + \frac{1}{8} \left[\frac{y^2}{2} + 2 \max\left\{\frac{y^2}{2}, \frac{v}{4}\right\}\right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} [Sx + 2 \max\{Sx, Tu\}] + \frac{1}{4} [Sy + 2 \max\{Sy, Tv\}] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} [P(Sx, Sx, Tu) + P(Sy, Sy, Tv)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} [P(Sx, Sx, Tu) + P(Sy, Sy, Tv)] \\
 &= \frac{1}{8} [P(Sx, Sx, Tu) + P(Sy, Sy, Tv)] \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max\{P(Sx, Sx, Tu), P(Sy, Sy, Tv)\} \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{4} \max \left\{ \begin{array}{l} P(Sx, Sx, Tu), P(Sy, Sy, Tv), \\ P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Sx), P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Sy), \\ P(g(u, v), g(u, v), Tu), P(g(v, u), g(v, u), Tv), \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(x, y), f(x, y), Tu) + P(g(u, v), g(u, v), Sx)], \\ \frac{1}{2} [P(f(y, x), f(y, x), Tv) + P(g(v, u), g(v, u), Sy)] \end{array} \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

One can easily verify (2.1.4)(b) in the similar lines.



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

Thus all conditions of Theorem (2.1) are satisfied. Clearly $(0,0)$ is the unique common coupled fixed point of f, g, S and T .

REFERENCES

- [1] Abbas M, Ali khan M & Radenovic S, Common coupled fixed point theorems in cone metric spaces for w-compatible mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 217 (2010), 195-202.
- [2] Abbas M, Nazir T & Vetro P, Common fixed point results for three maps in G-metric spaces, *Filomat*, 25 (2011) 4, 1-17.
- [3] Abbas M, Nazir T & Radenovic S, Common fixed point of generalized weakly contractive maps in partially ordered G-metric spaces, *Appl Math Comput*, 218 (2012) 18, 9383-9395.
- [4] Aydi H, Damjanovic B, Samet B & Shatanawi W, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partial ordered G-metric spaces, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 54 (2011), 2443-2450.
- [5] Dhage B C, Generalised metric spaces and mappings with fixed point. *Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc.*, 84 (1992) 4, 329-336.
- [6] Dhage B C, A common fixed point principle in D-metric spaces. *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, 91 (1999) 6, 475-480.
- [7] Dhage B C, Pathan A M & Rhoades B E, A general existence principle for fixed point theorem in D-metric spaces, *Int. J. Math. Sci.*, 23 (2000), 441-448.
- [8] Gnana Bhaskar T & Lakshmikantham V, Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications, *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, 65 (2006) 7, 1379-1393.
- [9] Kadelburg Z, Nashine H K & Radenovic S, Common coupled fixed point results in partially ordered G-metric spaces, *Bull Math Anal Appl*, 4 (2012), 51-63.
- [10] Kaewcharoen A, Common fixed points for four mappings in G-metric spaces. *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, 6 (2012) 47, 2345-2356.
- [11] Lakshmikantham V & Ćirić Lj, Coupled fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Nonlinear Analysis. Theory, Methods and Applications*, 70 (2009) 12, 4341-4349.
- [12] Matthews S G, Partial metric topology//Proc 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, *Ann New York Acad Sci*, 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [13] Mustafa Z & Sims B, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J Nonlinear Convex Anal*, 7



Rao *et. al.*, Vol. 12, No. II, December, 2016, pp 7-28.

(2006) 2, 289-297.

- [14] Mustafa Z, Obiedat H & Awawadeti F, Some common fixed point theorems for mappings on complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl.*, (2008), Article ID 189870, 12 pages.
- [15] Mustafa Z & Sims B, Fixed point theorems for contractive mapping in complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed point Theory Appl*, (2009), Article ID 917175, 10 pages.
- [16] Mustafa Z, Shatanawi W & Bataineh M, Existence of fixed piont results in G-metric spaces, *Int J Math Math Sci*, (2009), Article ID 283028, 10 pages.
- [17] Mustafa Z & Obiedat H, A fixed point theroem of Reich in G-metric spaces, *CUBO*, 12 (2010) 1, 83-93.
- [18] Mustafa Z, Khandaqji M & Shatanawi W, Fixed point results on complete G-metric spaces, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 48 (2011), 304-319.
- [19] Naidu S V R, Rao K P R & Srinivasa Rao N, On the topology of D-metric spaces and the generation of D-metric spaces from metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 51 (2004), 2719-2740.
- [20] Naidu S V R, Rao K P R & Srinivasa Rao N, On the concepts of balls in a D-metric space, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 1 (2005), 133-141.
- [21] Naidu S V R, Rao K P R & Srinivasa Rao N, On convergent sequences and fixed point theorems in D-metric spaces, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 12 (2005), 1969-1988.
- [22] Saadati R, Vaezpour S M, Vetro P & Rhoades B E, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spces, *Math Comput Modelling*, 52 (2010) (5/6), 797-801.
- [23] Salimi P & Vetro P, A result of Suzuki Type in Partial G- Metric spaces, *Acta Matimatica Scientia*, 34 (2014) B(2), 274 - 284.
- [24] Shatanawi W, Fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying ψ -maps in G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl*, (2010), Article ID 181650, 9 pages.
- [25] Shantanawi W, Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications, *Abst Appl Anal*, (2011), Article ID 126205, 11 pages.
- [26] Shatanawi W, Coupled fixed point theorems in generalized metric spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.*, 40 (2011), 441-447.