A GENERALIZATION OF CONTRACTION PRINCIPLE ON PARTIAL METRIC SPACES

G. A. Dhanorkar*, J. N. Salunke School of Mathematical Sciences, S.R.T.M.U., Nanded, India

*Corresponding author:gdhanorkar81@yahoo.com Received 24 September, 2012; Revised 13 January, 2013

ABSTRACT

In this paper we have proved fixed point theorem using continuous and monotonically non-decreasing mapping $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

Keywords: continuity, metric space, partial metric space.

1 INTRODUCTION

In 1992, Matthews [1, 2] introduced the notion of a partial metric space which is a generalization of usual metric spaces in which d(x; x) is not necessarily zero. In this paper we have proved _xed point theorem using continuous and monotonically non-decreasing mapping $\phi, \psi : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ with $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$.

2 PRELIMINARY NOTES

Definition 2.1 Let X be a non-empty set. Suppose the mapping $\rho: X \times X : [0, \infty)$ is said to be a partial metric on X, if for any $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions hold true:

- (p1) $\rho(x, y) = \rho(y, x)$ (symmetry),
- (p2) If $\rho(x, x) = \rho(x, y) = \rho(y, y)$, then x = y (equality),
- (p3) $\rho(x, x) \le \rho(x, y)$ (small self distances),
- (p4) $\rho(x, z) \le \rho(x, y) + \rho(y, z) \rho(y, y)$ (triangularity),

then (X, ρ) is called a partial metric space (see, e.g. [1, 2]).

Notice that for a partial metric ρ on X, the function $d_{\rho}: X \times X \to [0, \infty)$ given by

$$d_{\rho}(x, y) = 2\rho(x, y) - \rho(x, x) - \rho(y, y)$$

is a usual metric on X. Observe that each partial metric ρ on X generates a T_0 topology T_ρ on X with a base of the family of open ρ -balls $\left\{B_\rho(x,\varepsilon):x\in X,\varepsilon>0\right\}$, where

 $B_{\rho}(x,\varepsilon) = \left\{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) < \rho(x,x) + \varepsilon \right\} \text{ for all } x \in X, \varepsilon > 0 \text{ .Similarly, closed } \rho \text{ -ball is defined as } B_{\rho}[x,\varepsilon] = \left\{ y \in X : \rho(x,y) \leq \rho(x,x) + \varepsilon \right\}.$

Definition 2.2 [1, 2]

(i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, ρ) converges to $x \in X$ if and only if $\rho(x, y) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \rho(x, x_n)$,

- (ii) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a partial metric space (X, ρ) is called Cauchy if and only if $\lim_{n,m\to\infty} \rho(x_n, x_m)$, exists and is finite,
- (iii) A partial metric space (X, ρ) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges, with respect to T_ρ , to a point $x \in X$ such that $\rho(x, y) = \lim_{n,m \to \infty} \rho(x_n, x_m)$,
- (iv) A mapping $f: X \to X$ is said to be continuous at $x_0 \in X$ if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that $f(B(x_0, \delta)) \subset f(B(x_0, \varepsilon))$.

Lemma 2.3 [1, 2]

- (i) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in a partial metric space (X, ρ) if and only if sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy in a metric space (X, d_n) ,
- (ii) A partial metric space (X, ρ) is complete if and only if a metric space (X, d_{ρ}) is complete.

Moreover

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d_{\rho}(x,x_n) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \rho(x,y) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \rho(x,x_n) = \lim_{n,m\to\infty} \rho(x_n,x_m).$$

Main results

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, ρ) be complete partial metric space and let $T: X \to X$ is a self mapping satisfying the inequality

$$\psi[\rho(Tx,Ty)] \le \psi[\rho(x,y)] - \phi[\rho(x,y)],\tag{1}$$

where $\psi, \phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ both are continuous and monotonically non-decreasing functions with $\phi(0) = \psi(0) = 0$ if and only if t = 0. Then T having fixed point.

Proof: For any $x_0 \in X$, we construct a sequence $\{x_n\}$ by $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots$. Substituting $x_n = x_{n-1}$ and $y = x_n$. So the equation (1) becomes

$$\psi[\rho(Tx_{n}, Tx_{n+1})] \le \psi[\rho(x_{n-1}, x_{n})] - \phi[\rho(x_{n-1}, x_{n})], \tag{2}$$

which implies

$$\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) \le \rho(x_{n-1}, x_n)$$
 (: is monotonic function).

It follows that the sequence $\{\rho(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is monotone decreasing and there exist $r \ge 0$ such that

$$\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to r \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$ equation (2), becomes

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r)$$
,

which is a contradiction. Hence

$$\rho(x_n, x_{n+1}) \to r \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3)

To prove sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. If suppose $\{x_n\}$ is not Cauchy sequence. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ for which we can find subsequences $\{x_{m(k)}\}$ and $\{x_{n(k)}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ with n(k) > m(k) > k such that

$$\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \ge \varepsilon . \tag{4}$$

Further, corresponds to m(k), we can choose n(k) in such that it is the smallest integer with n(k) > m(k) satisfies (4). Then

$$\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon. \tag{5}$$

Then we have

$$\varepsilon \leq \rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})$$

$$\leq \rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) - \leq \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})$$

$$\leq \varepsilon + \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}).$$

Letting $k \to \infty$, we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon. \tag{6}$$

Again

$$\rho(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \le \rho(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) - \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})$$

$$\rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) \le \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + \rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) - \rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)}).$$

from (5) and (6), we get

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)-1}) = \varepsilon \tag{7}$$

from (1) and (4), we get

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \le \psi(\rho(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}))$$

\$\le \psi(\rho(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) - \phi(\rho(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}))\$

from (6) and (7), we get

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq \psi(\varepsilon) - \phi(\varepsilon)$$

which is contradiction if $\varepsilon > 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy and hence convergent in the complete metric space X say z. So

$$\psi(\rho(x_n, Tz)) \le \psi(\rho(x_{n-1}, z)) - \phi(\rho(x_{n-1}, z))$$

As $n \to \infty$, and continuity of ψ and ϕ , we have

$$\psi(\rho(z,Tz)) \le \psi(0) - \phi(0),$$
$$\rho(z,Tz) = 0,$$
$$z = Tz.$$

For uniqueness suppose z_1 and z_2 are fixed points of T from (1), we can get

$$\psi(\rho(Tz_1, Tz_2)) \le \psi(\rho(z_1, z_2)) - \phi(\rho(z_1, z_2))$$

$$\psi(\rho(z_1, z_2)) \le \psi(\rho(z_1, z_2)) - \phi(\rho(z_1, z_2)).$$

Therefore

 $\phi(\rho(z_1, z_2)) = 0$ gives $\rho(z_1, z_2) = 0$, that is $z_1 = z_2$. This proves the uniqueness of the theorem.

Example 2.5 Let $X = [0, \infty)$ and $\rho(x, y) = \max(x, y)$, then (X, ρ) is complete partial metric space. Let $T: X \to X$ such that $Tx = \frac{x}{2}$ and $\psi, \phi: [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{2}$ and $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{4}$, holds equation (1) $\psi[\rho(Tx, Ty)] \le \psi[\rho(x, y)] - \phi[\rho(x, y)]$

therefore x = 0 is only fixed point.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the referees for their helpful remarks and suggestions for this article.

REFERENCES

- [1] Matthews S G, Partial metric topology. Research Report 212. Department of Computer Science, University of Warwick (1992).
- [2] Matthews S G, Partial metric topology. In: General Topology and its Applications. Proceedings of the 8th Summer Conference, Queen's College (1992). *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* 728, 183-197(1994).
- [3] Oltra S & Valero O, Banach's _xed point theorem for partial metric spaces. *Rendiconti dell'Istituto di Matematica dell'Universit di Trieste* 36(1-2), (2004)17.
- [4] Altun I, & Erduran A, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* Article ID 508730,10(2011). doi:10.1155/2011/508730
- [5] S J ONeill, Two topologies are better than one, Tech. report, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK, http://www.dcs.warwick.ac.uk/reports/283.html, (1995).
- [6] H P A Knzi, H Pajoohesh, Schellekens M P, Partial quasi-metrics, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 365(3) (2006) 237. MR 2007f:54048.
- [7] Ishak Altun, Ferhan Sola, Hakan Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, *Topology and its Applications* 157, (2010) 2778.
- [8] O Valero, On Banach fixed point theorems for partial metric spaces, *Appl. Gen. Topol.* 6 (2) (2005) 229.